

Federal Government Impact in the Clinical Laboratory

SUSAN J LECLAIR

Lacking specific reference in the Constitution, healthcare is fundamentally the right of the state and not the federal government. Federal intervention has therefore used a discordant approach to addressing national concerns and responsibilities. Focus: Government Regulations explains this complex array of issues and agencies as they affect our profession. This brief explanation of the processes and agencies that influence healthcare delivery may be beneficial as providers navigate twenty-first century American healthcare.

To be both a citizen and a scientist is a balancing act. The knowledge base in the clinical sciences doubles approximately every four years. With so much time and effort devoted to currency and competency, it is difficult to segregate time and effort to understanding and influencing the role of government. This is especially true in the face of cynicism and apathy.

Governmental oversight continues to intensify. No other single entity has so much power. Physicians may desire additional testing. Patients may wish for faster turnaround times. Hospital administrators may hope for lower costs. Governmental agencies exert their influence from the type of pipette used to the educational background of the practitioner to reporting and retrieval mechanisms.

The first article is a compendium of some of the major legislative initiatives that impact the clinical laboratory. The second reviews the structure of the legislative realities as a bill becomes a law, providing insight and reducing our reluctance to work within the system, and the third explains how a law is actualized through regulatory agencies.

LEARNING OBJECTIVES

1. List the major agencies that provide oversight or have financial implications to the clinical laboratory.
2. Compare and contrast the processes used in the Administrative Procedures Act (APA) and the Negotiated Rulemaking Act (“neg reg”).
3. Explain the roles of the CDC, FDA, and CMS in the development of clinical laboratory test categorization
4. Describe how a bill becomes a federal law.
5. Discriminate among the various types of laws and regulations.
6. Identify the various components of a federal law’s codified form.
7. List the influences that affect a law after it is passed.
8. Follow the post-enactment changes that occur to a law during the regulatory phase.
9. Identify at least four laws that have impact on clinical laboratory personnel.

A THANK YOU TO REVIEW BOARD MEMBERS

for their time and expertise in reviewing manuscripts for *Clinical Laboratory Science* in 2005:

| | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Richard Bamberg/Greenville NC | Denise Harmening/Baltimore MD | Craig Lehmann/Stony Brook NY |
| Kathleen Blevins/Oklahoma City OK | Daniel Hoefner/Elon NC | Elizabeth Leibach-Kenimer/ Augusta GA |
| Dianne Cearlock/DeKalb IL | Linda Hogan/Wichita KS | Lynn Little/Dallas TX |
| Peter Colaninno/Jamaica NY | Virginia Hughes/Montgomery AL | Carol McCoy/Minneapolis MN |
| Jo Ann Fenn/Salt Lake City UT | Linda Kasper/ Indianapolis IN | David McGlasson/Lackland AFB TX |
| Ellis Frohman/St Louis MO | Nancy Konopka/Gettysburg PA | Sharon Miller/St Charles IL |
| Mildred Fuller/Norfolk VA | Robin Krefetz/Cherry Hill NJ | Isaac Montoya/Houston TX |
| Abraham Furman/Portland OR | Linda Laatsch/Milwaukee WI | Harriette Nadler/King of Prussia PA |
| Richard Gregory/Indianapolis IN | Hal Larsen/Lubbock TX | Joan Prince/Milwaukee WI |
| Jesse Guiles /Newark NJ | Donna Larson/Gersham OR | Margaret Reinhart/Philadelphia PA |
| Lester Hardegree/Bluffton SC | Louann Lawrence/New Orleans LA | John Seabolt/Lexington KY |
| | | Stephen Sodeke/Tuskegee AL |

The editorial office looks forward to working with *Clin Lab Sci* reviewers, authors, editors, and readers in 2006.
Managing Editor: Margaret LeMay, IC Ink, 858 St Anne’s Dr, Iowa City IA 52245. (319) 354-3861, ic.ink@mchsi.com